

# The role of making and correcting errors in second language learning

By Brian Strong & Elif Once Buyukerol, Canada

Understanding the role of errors in second language learning is crucial for developing effective teaching strategies. Despite their frequent occurrence, errors are often viewed negatively. However, they can provide invaluable insights into the learning process and serve as potent learning tools. This discussion aims to explore how making and correcting errors can enhance second language vocabulary acquisition, offering practical implications for classroom practices. Errors and mistakes are terms often used interchangeably, but they have distinct meanings in the context of language learning. Errors are systematic and occur due to a lack of knowledge; they reflect gaps in the learner's understanding and are often persistent. Mistakes, on the other hand, are occasional lapses that learners can self-correct when pointed out, often due to factors like fatigue, distraction, or inattention (Corder, 1967).

Traditionally, errors were feared and avoided, seen as signs of failure. However, contemporary educational theories have shifted this perspective. Today, errors are increasingly accepted as a natural and beneficial part of the learning process, seen as opportunities for learners to explore, experiment, and ultimately deepen their understanding (Butterfield & Metcalfe, 2006). In the dynamic and diverse environment of the ESL classroom, errors are inevitable and can vary widely depending on learners' backgrounds, proficiency levels, and individual learning styles. By examining the effects of making and correcting errors, we can develop more effective and responsive teaching strategies that cater to the unique needs of our students. This exploration will delve into both the negative and positive impacts of errors, helping teachers foster a supportive learning environment where students can thrive. Embracing errors as a natural part of the learning process can lead to more effective language acquisition and greater learner confidence, ultimately benefiting both teachers and students.



## Historical perspective

The study of errors in language learning has evolved significantly, reflecting shifts in educational theory over the past century. Understanding these changes can help ESL teachers appreciate the nuanced role of errors in the learning process. In the early to mid-20th century, behaviourist theories, led by researchers such as B.F. Skinner, dominated the educational landscape. Behaviourism focused on observable behaviours and the use of reinforcement to shape learning. Errors were seen as undesirable and to be minimized through positive reinforcement of correct responses. Skinner's concept of operant conditioning proposed that learning occurs through the reinforcement of desired behaviours (Skinner, 1957). This led to language teaching methods like the audiolingual method, which relied on repetition, drills, and immediate correction to eliminate errors and reinforce correct language forms.

As educational theory progressed, cognitive and constructivist perspectives began to challenge behaviourist views. Jean Piaget and Lev Vygotsky were key figures in this shift, emphasizing mental processes and social interaction in learning. Piaget's theory of cognitive development suggested that learners actively construct knowledge through experiences, with errors being a natural part of this process (Piaget, 1952). Vygotsky's sociocultural theory introduced the concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), the gap between what learners can do independently and what they can achieve with guidance. Vygotsky viewed errors within the ZPD as valuable indicators of areas where learners are ready to grow (Vygotsky, 1978). Through scaffolding—providing support to help learners perform tasks they cannot yet do alone—teachers can use errors to tailor their instruction to the learner's needs.

In the latter half of the 20th century, humanistic and communicative approaches further expanded the understanding of errors in learning. Humanistic theories, influenced by Carl Rogers, emphasized the importance of the learner's emotional and psychological well-being, advocating for a supportive learning environment where making errors is seen as a natural and necessary part of learning (Rogers, 1961). The communicative approach, emerging in the 1970s and 1980s, prioritized meaningful communication over grammatical accuracy. This approach, championed by educators like Dell Hymes and Michael Canale, argued that effective communication is the primary goal of language learning (Canale & Swain, 1980). In communicative language teaching (CLT), errors are viewed as a natural part of using and learning a language, with a focus on fluency and conveying meaning, while providing corrective feedback in a way that does not disrupt communication.

Today, most language educators recognize the value of integrating insights from behaviourist, cognitive, constructivist, humanistic, and communicative theories. Errors are understood to be an inevitable and



beneficial part of the learning process, providing critical information about learners' understanding and developmental progress. Modern pedagogical practices emphasize creating a supportive environment where learners feel comfortable taking risks and making errors. Constructive feedback is essential for helping learners understand their errors and improve their language skills. Techniques such as formative assessment, where ongoing feedback is provided during the learning process, are widely used to help learners correct their errors and build proficiency over time (Black & Wiliam, 1998). Additionally, recent research has shown that ESL vocabulary exercises are often implemented to promote learning through trial and error, highlighting the practical application of making and correcting errors in real-world classroom settings.

## Negative effects of making errors

Understanding the potential negative effects of making errors is crucial for ESL teachers aiming to create an optimal learning environment. While errors can be valuable learning opportunities, they can also pose significant challenges if not handled appropriately. One major negative effect of making errors is the induction of a fear of failure, leading to increased anxiety among learners. This anxiety is particularly pronounced in language learning, where the fear of making errors in speaking or writing can be overwhelming (Horwitz et al., 1986). Anxiety affects cognitive functions essential for learning, such as memory retention, concentration, and problem-solving abilities. Consequently, anxious learners may become reluctant to participate in activities that involve speaking or writing, opting to stay silent rather than risk making errors. This avoidance behaviour hampers language practice and fluency development.

To mitigate this, teachers can create a supportive environment where errors are viewed as a natural part of the learning process. Encouraging risk-taking and reassuring students that errors are opportunities for growth can help reduce anxiety (Dörnyei, 2009). Normalizing errors by sharing examples of common errors made by both native and non-native speakers demonstrates that errors are a universal part of language learning. Another negative effect of making errors without receiving constructive feedback is the potential damage to learners' self-esteem and confidence. Students who consistently make errors may begin to see themselves as incapable of mastering the language, leading to a negative self-perception. This lack of confidence can deter them from engaging with new material and taking the necessary risks to improve their language skills. Over time, this can result in a self-fulfilling prophecy where the fear of making errors stifles progress, leading to more errors and further erosion of confidence (Bandura, 1997). To combat this, teachers should provide specific, positive feedback alongside corrections to help students understand their errors and learn the correct usage. Highlighting what students are doing well can also build their confidence. Encouraging a growth mindset, where language learning is viewed as a journey and making errors is seen as an essential



part of the process, can help students view their errors as steps toward mastery rather than failures (Dweck, 2006).

Furthermore, if errors are not promptly and effectively addressed, there is a risk of reinforcing incorrect language usage. Learners may internalize these errors, making them harder to correct over time. This can lead to the development of bad habits and fossilization, where incorrect forms become ingrained and resistant to change (Selinker, 1972; Strong & Boers, 2019a, 2019b). Such reinforcement can impede overall language proficiency and make it challenging for learners to achieve fluency. To prevent the negative effects of errors, teachers should provide timely and clear corrections. Using examples and explanations can help students understand why their usage was incorrect and how to correct it. Incorporating regular review sessions to revisit common errors and reinforce correct usage can also be effective. Using varied and engaging activities during these sessions can help keep students interested and facilitate learning (Lightbown & Spada, 2013).

While making errors is an inevitable part of learning a new language, ESL teachers must be aware of the potential negative effects. By addressing fear and anxiety, combating negative self-perception, and preventing the reinforcement of incorrect usage, teachers can create a more supportive and effective learning environment. Through thoughtful strategies and a positive classroom atmosphere, errors can be transformed from obstacles into steppingstones on the path to language proficiency.

## Positive effects of making errors

Recognizing the positive effects of making errors can help ESL teachers leverage these opportunities to enhance their students' learning experiences. While errors may initially seem like setbacks, they offer several benefits that can significantly aid language acquisition. One key benefit of making errors is that it requires learners to actively engage with the language. This engagement is essential for deeper cognitive processing, which is crucial for long-term retention of new vocabulary. When students attempt to use new words and phrases, even if they do so incorrectly, they are actively participating in their learning. This active participation helps solidify their understanding and memory of new vocabulary (Strong, 2023). Teachers can promote this active engagement by creating activities that require students to use new vocabulary in various contexts. Interactive methods such as group discussions, role-plays, and language games can keep students involved in their learning process (Nation & Newton, 2009).

Errors also provide valuable opportunities for feedback and correction, which are vital components of the learning process. Constructive feedback helps learners understand their errors, learn the correct usage, and reinforce their knowledge and skills. When students receive timely and specific feedback, they can adjust their understanding and improve their language abilities (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). Teachers can support



this process by offering detailed explanations of why an error occurred and how to correct it. Balancing corrections with positive reinforcement can motivate students and build their confidence. Additionally, engaging in trial and error encourages learners to think critically and develop problem-solving skills. This process helps them become more autonomous learners, capable of identifying and correcting their own errors in the future. As students encounter and resolve errors, they enhance their analytical abilities and become more adept at navigating the complexities of language learning (Ellis, 2008). Teachers can design activities that require students to analyze language use, identify errors, and come up with solutions. Encouraging students to reflect on their errors and self-correct can promote independence and self-directed learning.

Experiencing and overcoming errors can also build resilience and persistence, qualities essential for language learners. Language acquisition is a challenging process that requires continuous effort and perseverance. By facing and addressing their errors, students develop the resilience needed to persist through difficulties and continue making progress (Dörnyei, 2009). Teachers can promote a growth mindset by emphasizing that making errors is a natural and valuable part of the learning journey, helping students view errors as opportunities for growth. Acknowledging and celebrating students' progress can reinforce their persistence and commitment to learning.

In summary, embracing the positive effects of making errors can transform them from obstacles into powerful learning tools. By encouraging active engagement, providing constructive feedback, fostering problem-solving skills, and building resilience, ESL teachers can create a dynamic and supportive learning environment. Recognizing and leveraging the benefits of errors can lead to more effective language acquisition and a more confident, capable group of learners.

## **Practical implications and strategies**

Understanding how to effectively integrate the positive aspects of making errors into your teaching practice can greatly enhance your students' learning experiences. Here are practical strategies that ESL teachers can use to normalize errors, provide constructive feedback, and foster a supportive learning environment. Research over the past decade shows that errors can actually help students learn and remember things better, especially when they get feedback to help them improve (Butterfield & Metcalfe, 2006; Karpicke & Grimaldi, 2012; Kornell et al., 2009; Potts & Shanks, 2014). Emphasizing to students that making errors is a natural and beneficial part of the learning process is essential. When students see errors as opportunities rather than failures, their anxiety decreases, and their willingness to participate increases. Teachers can create a safe learning environment by fostering a classroom atmosphere where errors are expected and accepted (Horwitz, 2013). Sharing your own language learning experiences and responding positively when students make errors can further reinforce this mindset.



Timely and constructive feedback is crucial for helping students learn from their errors. Instead of merely pointing out errors, explain why something is incorrect and provide examples of correct usage. This approach helps learners understand their errors and remember the corrections. Teachers should offer clear, specific feedback that explains the nature of the error and how to correct it, using examples to illustrate correct usage. Combining positive reinforcement with corrections can keep students motivated and confident (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). Activities that explicitly involve trial and error can help normalize errors and make learning more engaging. These activities allow students to experiment with language in a low-stress environment. Teachers can use exercises that require students to practice language skills in various contexts, encouraging them to try different options and learn from their errors. Incorporating role-plays, simulations, and games that require students to use new vocabulary and structures can provide opportunities for trial and error in a fun, supportive setting (Nation & Newton, 2009).

Peer interactions can provide additional support and feedback, creating a collaborative learning environment. Group activities where learners correct each other's errors can reduce the stigma associated with errors and foster a sense of community. Teachers can design activities that involve peer review and correction, such as having students work in pairs to complete exercises and then review each other's work. Organizing regular sessions where students give and receive feedback from their peers can encourage constructive and respectful dialogue about errors (Johnson & Johnson, 2009). Promoting a growth mindset helps learners view errors as part of their language learning journey. Encouraging students to reflect on their errors and see them as opportunities for growth can enhance their motivation and resilience. Teachers can incorporate activities that focus on personal growth and learning from errors, such as reflective journals or discussions about progress. Reinforcing the idea that effort and perseverance are key to language learning success and celebrating students' progress and improvements, even if they are small, can further promote a growth mindset (Dweck, 2006).

Integrating the positive aspects of making errors into ESL teaching practices can transform errors from obstacles into powerful learning tools. By normalizing errors, providing constructive feedback, incorporating error-friendly activities, encouraging peer support, and fostering a growth mindset, teachers can create a dynamic and supportive learning environment. These strategies not only help students improve their language skills but also build their confidence and resilience, ultimately leading to more successful language acquisition.



## Dos and don'ts of using errors in teaching

### Dos:

- 1. Remind students of their initial error:** Encourage students to keep track of their errors and the correct forms. Using exercises like gap-filling, matching, and insertion can help students connect incorrect guesses with the correct vocabulary. Maintaining a log of errors can help students recognize and recall patterns (Butterfield & Metcalfe, 2006).
- 2. Practice different types of error correction strategies:** Different tasks and learners require different approaches to error correction. Teachers should be aware of various techniques and apply the most suitable ones based on the context, learners, and tasks. For example, metalinguistic error correction, where teachers provide comments or questions about the linguistic formation, can be effective for focusing on linguistic content (Nakata, 2015).

### Don'ts:

- 1. Do not cross out errors:** Avoid crossing out wrong answers, especially in multiple-choice exercises, as it may not help students learn vocabulary effectively. Highlighting errors instead of avoiding them helps students see the connection between the error and the correct answer, fostering better learning and recall.
- 2. Do not delay the feedback:** Immediate feedback is crucial for effective learning. Delayed feedback can lead to reinforcement of incorrect behaviours, known as fossilization. Real-time feedback helps students correct their errors instantly and understand misunderstandings promptly.

## Conclusion

Making and correcting errors is a natural part of the language learning process. By implementing strategies that leverage errors as opportunities for growth and learning, ESL teachers can help students improve their language skills effectively. Recognizing the benefits and managing the negative impacts of errors can create a more supportive and effective learning environment.



## References

- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W.H. Freeman.
- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 80(2), 139–148.
- Butterfield, B., & Metcalfe, J. (2006). The correction of errors committed with high confidence. *Metacognition Learning*, 1, 69–84. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-006-6894-z>
- Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. *Applied Linguistics*, 1(1), 1–47.
- Corder, S. P. (1967). The significance of learners' errors. *International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching*, 5(1–4), 161–170.
- Dörnyei, Z. (2001). *Motivational strategies in the language classroom*. Cambridge University Press.
- Dörnyei, Z. (2009). *The psychology of second language acquisition*. Oxford University Press.
- Dweck, C. S. (2006). *Mindset: The new psychology of success*. Random House.
- Ellis, R. (2008). *The study of second language acquisition* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81–112.
- Horwitz, E. K. (2013). *Becoming a language teacher: A practical guide to second language learning and teaching*. Pearson.
- Horwitz, E. K., Horwitz, M. B., & Cope, J. (1986). Foreign language classroom anxiety. *The Modern Language Journal*, 70(2), 125–132.
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning. *Educational Researcher*, 38(5), 365–379.
- Karpicke, J. D., & Grimaldi, P. J. (2012). Retrieval-based learning: A perspective for enhancing meaningful learning. *Educational Psychology Review*, 24(3), 401–418. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-012-9202-2>
- Kornell, N., Hays, M. J., & Bjork, R. A. (2009). Unsuccessful retrieval attempts enhance subsequent learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 35(4), 989–998. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015729>
- Lightbown, P. M., & Spada, N. (2013). *How languages are learned* (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Nation, I. S. P., & Newton, J. (2009). *Teaching ESL/EFL listening and speaking*. Routledge.
- Piaget, J. (1952). *The origins of intelligence in children*. International Universities Press.





- Potts, R., & Shanks, D. R. (2014). The benefit of generating errors during learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 143(2), 644–667. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0033194>
- Rogers, C. R. (1961). *On becoming a person: A therapist's view of psychotherapy*. Houghton Mifflin.
- Selinker, L. (1972). Interlanguage. *International Review of Applied Linguistics*, 10(3), 209–231.
- Skinner, B. F. (1957). *Verbal behavior*. Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Strong, B., & Boers, F. (2019a). The error in trial and error: Exercises on phrasal verbs. *TESOL Quarterly*, 53(2), 289–319.

## Author Bios



Dr. Brian Strong is an assistant professor at Carleton University's School of Linguistics and Language Studies. He coordinates the CTESL program, overseeing practicum placements and guiding future ESL educators. His research focuses on methods of enhancing second language vocabulary acquisition. With 20 years of combined teaching experience in Japan and New Zealand, Brian brings a wealth of international expertise to his work. Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Brian Strong. Email: [brian.strong@carleton.ca](mailto:brian.strong@carleton.ca)



Elif Once-Buyukerol is a Ph.D. student in Applied Linguistics and Discourse Studies at Carleton University. She holds a bachelor's degree in English Language Teaching and a master's degree in Curriculum and Instruction. She has taught ESL and EFL in Turkey for six years. Her research focuses on the effect of error on vocabulary learning, with a particular emphasis on phrasal verb learning. She aims to contribute valuable insights to the fields of second language acquisition and motivation in language learning.